Dijlah Journal of Engineering Science (DJES) Vol. 3, No. 3, August, 2026, pp. 481-493

ISSN: Printed: **3078-9656, Online: 3078-9664**, paper ID: 221

Geometric And Algorithmic Aspects of Graph Representations: A Review of Spectral Methods, Graph Energy, and Structural Parameters

Wisam Rafid Dawood [1], Zeyad Mohammed Abed[2]

[1,2] Department of Computer Sciences, College of Sciences, AL-Nahrain University, Jadriya, Baghdad, Iraq

Article Info

Article history:

Received May,22,2026
Revised Jul.,10,2026
Accepted Aug.,20,2026

Keywords:

Extremal Graph Theory
Graph Energy
Laplacian Spectrum
Signless Laplacian
Spectral Radius
Algebraic Connectivity
Structural Graph

ABSTRACT

The two concepts of graph energy and Laplacian spectral theory function as essential components for contemporary algebraic and extremal graph research which provides essential tools for mathematical chemistry and complex network research. The article provides an extensive overview of recent progress about classical graph energy and Laplacian and singles Laplacian spectra and their complex connections with basic structural characteristics of graphs. The research focuses on presenting precise upper and lower limits together with their extreme characterizations and Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequalities and spectral conditions which depend on the connectivity and diameter and degree-based features of the network. The analysis expands into various advanced definitions of graph energy while showcasing the progress made in theoretical understanding during the last ten years. The survey concludes with a discussion of open problems and emerging research directions, aiming to provide a unified and critical perspective that may stimulate further investigations in spectral and structural graph theory.

Corresponding Author:

Wisam Rafid Dawood
Department of Computer Sciences, College of Sciences, AL-Nahrain University, Jadriya, Baghdad, Iraq.
Email: wisam.rafid@nahrainuniv.edu.iq

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of graph theory has emerged as a key discipline within contemporary discrete mathematics because it establishes deep connections with linear algebra and combi-natorics and optimization and theoretical computer science and network analysis. Spectral graph theory and graph energy research stand out as leading research fields which develop foundational theoretical frameworks that mathematicians and physicists and researchers who work with complex networks use to extend their scientific work. Researchers have produced a substantial collection of findings about how spectral parameters interact with graph structural properties during the last 30 years, which includes extreme boundary values and eigenvalue descriptions and inequality-type links that demonstrate essential information about graph topology..

The concept of graph energy, which first appeared in theoretical chemistry, calculates the total of absolute eigenvalue values from a graph’s adjacency matrix. Researchers have created multiple extensions of the original concept which include Laplacian energy and signless Laplacian energy and distance energy and various matrix-based spectral invariants. The quantities work as advanced instruments which enable scientists to assess the structural complexity and stability characteristics and robustness of discrete systems. The Laplacian spectrum functions as an essential component which enables scientists to study network connectivity and network expansion and synchronization patterns and random walk movements and diffusion processes. Structural and dynamic characteristics of systems show their essential nature through three key parameters which include spectral radius and algebraic connectivity and eigenvalue gaps.

The latest literature shows that researchers are focusing on creating precise boundary values which establish extreme limits for measuring energy-type invariants and Laplacian eigenvalues under specific structural limitations. Researchers have dedicated their efforts to studying how spectral quantities relate to classical graph parameters, which include degree sequences and diameter and connectivity and chromatic number and matching number and independence number. Researchers have systematically examined Nordhaus–Gaddum-type inequalities and

ISSN: Printed: **3078-9656, Online: 3078-9664**, paper ID: 221

comparative relationships between graphs and their complements, which has resulted in the discovery of new structural classifications and conjectures. The past decade has produced numerous results which require researchers to create a complete evaluation of these scientific advancements.

The present paper entitled “Recent Developments in Graph Energy, Laplacian Spectra, and Structural Parameters” aims to provide a comprehensive and structured survey of contemporary advances in this domain. Our study aims to establish a comprehensive understanding of energy-type invariants and Laplacian spectral characteristics through our examination of existing research. Researchers who study recent research have discovered modern inequality results, extremal constructions, and structural dependencies. Researchers should focus their attention on studying how generalized graph energy concepts are related to specific structural parameters, which control spectral behavior.

More specifically, the goals of this article are as follows:

- to review the theoretical foundations of classical and generalized graph energy;
- to summarize recent progress concerning Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectra;
- to analyze relationships between spectral quantities and structural graph parameters;
- to present sharp bounds, extremal results, and Nordhaus Gaddum type inequalities obtained in recent studies;
- to identify open problems and promising directions for future research.

The research survey presents an integrated overview of current research findings while identifying new research directions in spectral and structural graph theory. The complete unified presentation of our research findings will function as an essential reference resource for researchers who study algebraic graph theory and extremal graph theory and their related interdisciplinary fields.

2. Methodology and Research Gap

2.1. Methodological Framework

The present contribution adopts a systematic and analytical survey methodology to syn-the size contemporary developments in graph energy and Laplacian spectral theory within a unified conceptual framework. This work functions as a structured literature review which does not present novel theorems but instead assesses and organizes current theoretical developments to explain structural connections and discover new theoretical developments. The research focuses on academic work from the last ten years which appears in top algebraic and extremal graph theory journals because it has advanced understanding of spectral invariants which depend on graph structure.

Thematic classification serves as our primary method which we utilize to conduct comparative analysis. The literature is organized according to major conceptual directions which include classical graph energy and Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectra and generalized energy notions and extremal spectral problems and structural parameter interactions. The results of every thematic category undergo examination through direct evaluation of their established upper limits and lower limits and their equality conditions and their maximum extreme values. We aim to interpret results through structural principles which create commonalities between different approaches.

The survey investigates how spectral quantities interact with structural graph parameters through its central research focus. The study investigates how spectral radius and algebraic connectivity and energy-type measures function as invariants which rely on degree distribution and connectivity and diameter and chromatic number and other combinatorial features. The study compares the outcomes from various structural limitations to show how local attributes affect worldwide spectral outcomes. The research compares Laplacian energy and signless Laplacian energy as general energy concepts to show their common structural characteristics and their unique analytical qualities.

The survey introduces new research directions which study weighted and irregular graphs while applying classical results to recent developments. The integrative perspective provides a complete explanation of how traditional algebraic techniques apply to modern graph models. The paper employs a methodology which combines synthesis and comparison and structural interpretation to enhance understanding of which results exist.

2.2. Research Gap

The field of graph energy and Laplacian spectral theory has experienced substantial growth, yet significant research deficits still exist in the current scientific papers. The existing literature presents an obstacle because it fails to present energy-type invariants as a unified topic. The research on classical graph energy and Laplacian energy and signless Laplacian energy has established multiple bounds and extremal results, yet the studies proceed

ISSN: Printed: **3078-9656, Online: 3078-9664**, paper ID: 221

with their analysis as separate entities. The scientific community requires a structured framework that enables direct comparison of these invariants, which needs to be examined under identical structural conditions. The various spectral measures produce different reactions to structural changes, yet researchers still lack a comprehensive understanding of this relationship.

The second research gap addresses the relationship between various structural parameters. Most studies on extremal results restrict their focus to one specific constraint, which includes fixed order and maximum degree and connectivity as their primary limitations. The combined impact of multiple structural features, which includes diameter and degree sequence and connectivity and edge distribution needs investigation. The research shows a requirement for comprehensive studies, which must examine multiple essential structural elements and their interrelated connections.

The existing body of research has studied Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequalities extensively for classical eigenvalue based parameters, yet similar research on generalized energy measures remains scattered throughout the literature. The current spectral invariants demonstrate an incomplete understanding because researchers have yet to establish a comprehensive frame-work for analyzing spectral invariants in various spectral contexts.

The field of complex network theory currently uses spectral invariants to develop new ex-tensions that apply to weighted and directed and irregular graph structures. The theoretical frameworks of these generalizations require development, which must establish connections between classical extremal and algebraic methods. The connection between traditional spectral graph theory and modern network-oriented models requires ongoing research to resolve its current ambiguities.

The present survey identifies research gaps, which it presents to create a clear structural framework that connects isolated findings while presenting research paths for future studies. The paper brings together existing knowledge while creating new research problems and potential study paths in the fields of spectral and structural graph theory.

3 . Preliminaries and Notation

Throughout this paper, all graphs are assumed to be finite, simple, and undirected unless explicitly stated otherwise. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The order of G is n = |V(G)|, and the size of G is m = |E(G)|. For a vertex v ∈ V(G), its degree is denoted by dG(v) or simply d(v) whenever the graph under consideration is clear from the context. The maximum and minimum degrees of G are denoted by Δ(G) and δ(G), respectively.

A. Spectral Matrices of a Graph

The adjacency matrix of G is the $n \times n$ matrix A(G) = (a_{ij}) defined by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v_i v_j \in E(G), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

The matrix A(G) encodes the combinatorial structure of G in algebraic form and constitutes the foundation of classical spectral graph theory.

The degree matrix of G is the diagonal matrix

$$D(G) = diag\ d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n)\ , \tag{3.2}$$

which reflects the local connectivity distribution of vertices. The Laplacian matrix of G is defined as

$$L(G) = D(G) - A(G), \tag{3.3}$$

while the signless Laplacian matrix is given by

$$Q(G) = D(G) + A(G). \tag{3.4}$$

The matrices A(G), L(G), and Q(G) function as real symmetric matrices which guarantee that their eigenvalues exist as real numbers. The fundamental property of this system enables researchers to use matrix theory and functional analysis methods for studying combinatorial structures.

B. Eigenvalues and Spectral Parameters

Let the eigenvalues of A(G) be denoted by

$$\lambda 1(G) \geq \lambda 2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda n(G). \tag{3.5}$$

The largest eigenvalue λ1(G) is called the spectral radius of G and is denoted by

$$\rho(G) = \lambda 1(G). \tag{3.6}$$

The spectral radius plays a central role in extremal graph theory, network dynamics, and stability analysis.

The Laplacian eigenvalues are ordered as

$$\mu_1(G) \geq \mu_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n(G) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

where the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 equals the number of connected components of G. The second-smallest Laplacian eigenvalue,

$$\mu_{n-1}(G), \tag{3.8}$$

is referred to as the algebraic connectivity of G and provides a quantitative measure of struc-tural cohesion.

Similarly, the eigenvalues of the signless Laplacian matrix are arranged as

$$q_1(G) \geq q_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq q_n(G) \geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

C. Energy-Type Invariants

The energy of a graph G is defined as

$$\varepsilon(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_i(G)| \tag{3.10}$$

The mathematical chemistry field first introduced this invariant which now serves as a major research area in algebraic graph theory through its capacity to measure total spectral strength of adjacency matrix eigenvalues. The researchers established two structural elements with fixed order and fixed size and fixed degree sequence to develop multiple extremal problems for the mathematical expression E(G).

The Laplacian energy of G is defined by

$$\mathrm{LE}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\mu_i(G) - \frac{2m}{n}\right| \tag{3.11}$$

where 2m/n represents the average degree of G. This normalization ensures that the Laplacian energy reflects deviations from uniform degree distribution.

Analogously, the signless Laplacian energy is given by

$$\mathrm{QE}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|q_i(G) - \frac{2m}{n}\right| \tag{3.12}$$

The survey reports show that the backup energy assessment system needs to be completed so it can enhance the detection of structural defects and measurement errors in building structures.

D. Structural Parameters

The discussion needs spectral invariants together with several structural parameters. The diameter serves as one of the structural parameters we need to examine. The current research goal is to study how the combination of parameters affects spectral properties The default method for presenting eigenvalues starts with the highest value and proceeds to the next lower value. Scientists who study graph families that increase in size use the asymptotic notation system as their standard method of presentation.

4. Graph Energy: Classical Foundations and Modern Developments

4.1 Classical Foundations of Graph Energy

The introduction of graph energy started from molecular orbital theory, which used ad-jacency matrix eigenvalues to estimate π-electron energy levels in conjugated hydrocarbons. Gutman [1] developed the mathematical formalization of this concept by defining graph energy as the total of absolute values of adjacency eigenvalues.

One of the earliest general bounds, often attributed to McClelland, states that

$$\varepsilon(G) \leq \sqrt{2mn}, \tag{4.1}$$

where n and m denote the order and size of G, respectively [2]. This inequality follows from the identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\gamma^2_{i\ i}(G) = 2m\right| \tag{4.2}$$

ISSN: Printed: **3078-9656, Online: 3078-9664**, paper ID: 221

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality together with the estimate (3.2) establishes a fundamental basis for investigating extremal energy problems. Cvetkovic Doob and Sachs [3] conducted their formal studies which resulted in two main achievements the development of spectral graph theory and the discovery of structural rules that describe adjacency eigenvalue behavior. Their research provided essential foundations for demonstrating how combinatorial elements affect spectral distribution patterns.

4.2 Extremal Energy Problems

Graph energy extremal problems have received extensive research attention. Koolen and Moulton [4] developed improved graph energy upper limits after discovering specific structural conditions that enable equality to approach those limits. Their work improved classical inequalities through major advancements while creating new research paths to study extremal configurations.

The research by Gutman and his team [5] who studied energy extremal problems for trees showed that trees with maximal irregularity produce the highest energy for trees which main-tain constant order. The research outcomes demonstrate that degree dispersion establishes a fundamental relationship with spectral magnitude.

Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequalities for graph energy were later explored by Zhou and Gutman [6], establishing bounds of the form

$$\varepsilon(G) + \varepsilon(\bar{G}) \leq f(n), \tag{4.3}$$

where f(n) depends solely on the order of the graph. Such inequalities reveal complementary spectral behavior and structural duality.

4.3 Degree Irregularity and Spectral Dispersion

The current study shows that degree irregularity serves as the main factor which deter-mines the energy levels of the system. Nikiforov [7] established that spectral radius exhibits a strong relationship with degree concentration which subsequently affects graph energy through the implementation of inequalities that take the form of

$$\varepsilon(G) \leq n\rho(G). \tag{4.4}$$

The following research work [8] established that irregular graphs have greater spectral distribution because they developed new methods for studying degree variance and structural heterogeneity.

44 Laplacian Energy and Modern Extensions

The researchers Gutman and Zhou introduced Laplacian energy as a method to measure graph energy using Laplacian eigenvalues. The invariant which is defined in (3.4) measures how much a value deviates from its standard average value while researchers have used it to examine both connectivity and system resilience.

The comprehensive energy-type invariants and their practical uses are documented in recent surveys which include the monograph by Li, Shi, and Gutman [10]. Research today uses a single analytical framework to study adjacency energy, Laplacian energy, and sign-less Laplacian energy, yet researchers have not yet solved the problem of creating complete structural synthesis.

4.5 Summary of Representative Bounds

For clarity, Table 1 summarizes representative categories of graph energy bounds and their structural dependencies.

Table 1. Representative bounds and structural influences on graph energy

Category	Typical Form	Structural Dependence
Order–size bound	$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{2mn}$	Order n, size m
Spectral radius bound	$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq n\,\rho(G)$	Maximum degree, degree sequence
Nordhaus–Gaddum type	$\mathcal{E}(G) + \mathcal{E}(\overline{G}) \leq f(n)$	Graph density and complementarity
Tree extremal re-sults	Energy maximized by highly irregular trees	Degree dispersion
Laplacian variants	$LE(G)$, $QE(G)$	Degree irregularity and connectivity

5. Laplacian and Signless Laplacian Spectra

The Laplacian matrix L(G) = D(G) − A(G) and the signless Laplacian matrix Q(G) = D(G)+A(G) provide two complementary spectral frameworks for studying structural properties of graphs. The adjacency spectra depend mainly on global density and symmetry but the Laplacian-type spectra show how vertex degrees affect connectivity and expansion and robustness and partition structure. Comprehensive foundations of Laplacian spectral theory, including structural interpretations and extremal phenomena, are developed in classical monographs and surveys which include [11,12].

The Laplacian spectrum contains a fundamental property which states that 0 always acts as an eigenvalue while its multiplicity matches the total number of connected components in G. The Laplacian matrix serves as a fundamental algebraic tool which enables researchers to study both connectivity and graph decomposition through its basic yet powerful properties. The second-smallest Laplacian eigenvalue which people commonly denote as $\mu_2(G)$ represents the universal term algebraic connectivity. Fiedler [13] introduced algebraic connectivity as a systematic method which provides a quantitative assessment of graph connectivity. The property satisfies various structural inequalities while establishing fundamental connections to isoperimetric constants and Cheeger-type inequalities and expansion properties [14, 15]. The mathematical concept of algebraic connectivity extends its importance beyond combinatorial mathematics because it serves as a crucial element in network synchronization and diffusion dynamics and consensus processes [16,17].

Researchers study Laplacian eigenvalues through their extremal research because they established precise upper limits which depend on maximum degree and minimum degree and diameter and connectivity structural parameters. The first inequalities showed how the largest Laplacian eigenvalue μn(G) connects to degree statistics and local substructure [18,19]. The results have been improved to include both spectral gap analysis and degree variance bounds and irregularity measures [20,21]. The metric element μn(G) serves as a structural proxy because it shows how much edges deviate from their regularity pattern.

Spectral graph theory has gained a new important branch which studies the signless Laplacian spectrum. The special property holds that bipartite graphs have matching spectra for both L(G) and Q(G) while non-bipartite graphs show spectral asymmetry which the sign-less Laplacian uses to reveal their degree of non-bipartiteness [22]. The signless Laplacian received systematic treatment through studies which established its structural properties and extremal characteristics and degree-sequence inequalities [23,24]. The use of signless Laplacian techniques has become standard practice for researchers who develop both problems in extremal spectral graph theory and structural graph characterizations.

The study of majorization relations and degree-sequence inequalities for Laplacian eigen-values represents a major research area. Researchers have analyzed the connections which exist between Laplacian spectra and conjugate degree sequences through numerous studies [25,26]. The inequalities allow a combination of combinatorial and spectral information because they show that eigenvalue distributions depend on both global parameters and degree ordering. The results improve understanding about how spectral dispersion behaves in situations which involve multiple bounds.

Modern advancements have strengthened the importance of Nordhaus-Gaddum type relations for both Laplacian and signless Laplacian quantities. The classical adjacency-based Nordhaus-Gaddum inequalities have been extended to apply for both $\mu_2(G)$ and $\mu_n(G)$ and their respective spectral invariants [27,28]. The results show how spectral dispersion operates because of the structural complementarity which exists between G and G. The study creates a connection between research which develops advanced inequalities to show how algebraic connectivity relates to spectral radius under specific limitations which include diameter and edge connectivity and vertex connectivity [12,29]. The spectral gap information shows a frequent ability to estimate connectivity measures through its provided bounds.

Energy-type invariants show a direct relationship with the Laplacian framework. The research has analyzed Laplacian energy LE(G) and signless Laplacian energy QE(G) as spectral deviation measures which normalize degrees [9,10]. The quantities function as ex-tensions of classical adjacency energy because they measure average degree which makes them more responsive to detect structural imbalance and irregularity. The research established precise upper and lower bounds for LE(G) and QE(G) under the conditions which specify order and size and degree sequence [20,23,30]. The different maximum adjacency energy structures for standard graph families show that their extremal structures differ from those which maximize Laplacian energy because different spectral matrices capture advanced structural characteristics.

Recent research in Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectral theory has evolved toward three main research paths which include multi-parameter extremal analysis and degree-based inequality unification with eigenvalue bounds and energy-type invariant development into comparative structural analysis. The survey shows that adjacency spectra together with Laplacian spectra and signless Laplacian spectra form fundamental structural parameters which display different relationships.

Research studies which have examined structural characteristics of graph families through domination-type parameters have focused on recursively constructed graph families. Al-Harere and Breesam [31] created the spinner graph through a multiple layer design which uses augmented wheel graphs as its foundation and developed precise mathematical expressions for all domination types which include total and connected and restrained and bi-domination numbers. Through their findings, researchers proved that recursive structural layering acts as a major factor which determines both domination parameters and connectivity-related in-variants. The constructions enable researchers to test how local wheel-based symmetry and hierarchical augmentation affect the distribution of Laplacian eigenvalues and the measurement of connectivity for the studied system. The domination properties which [31] derived from their study demonstrate how structural decomposition interacts with global control parameters to control spectral behavior through combinatorial constraints.

Research studies which focus on structural domination have received new attention through recent studies which investigate how large networks use sparse adjacency matrices to represent their connections. Yaqoob and Al-Sarray [32] proposed a heuristic regularization operator for sparse graphs by modifying the adjacency matrix and corresponding Laplacian through spectral clustering and Tabu Search and Fuzzy C-Means optimization. Their approach emphasizes that in very sparse networks, classical spectral methods become unstable because of irregular degree distribution while proper regularization leads to better community detection results. The regularization techniques modify eigenvalue distribution which results in a more stable spectral gap according to Laplacian spectral theory. The computational evidence demonstrates that structural-spectral principles apply to both algorithmic and large-scale network contexts according to the theoretical developments which have been explained earlier.

6. Extremal Problems and Characterization Results

Extremal problems serve as the fundamental core of spectral graph theory and enable researchers to study how combinatorial structures interact with spectral properties. Re-searchers aim to identify the graph structures which produce maximum or minimum values of spectral functions through their studies of graph energy and Laplacian-based metrics while adhering to structural limits that included fixed order and fixed size and required diameter and degree sequence specifications.

A classical result states that among all simple graphs of order n, the complete graph Kn maximizes the spectral radius ρ(G). Graph energy in its mathematical properties satisfies the relationship which states that

$$\varepsilon(G) \leq n\rho(G), \tag{6.1}$$

The maximum energy estimate for adjacency-based systems derives from complete graphs which function as the upper limit for their energy calculations. The spectral radius of sparse graphs exhibits a downward trend when their degree distribution reaches its maximum level. The structural characteristics of trees display their most intricate patterns through extremal phenomena. The star graph Sn holds the highest spectral radius among all trees with order n while the path graph Pn displays the lowest level of algebraic connectivity. The second eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator

$$\mu_2(G), \tag{6.2}$$

often called the algebraic connectivity, governs connectivity robustness and strongly influences Laplacian energy

$$LE(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}\right| \tag{6.3}$$

The small μ2(G) measurement for trees results in greater degree fluctuations which cause increased Laplacian energy spread throughout the system. The diameter limitations demonstrate how different structural features interact with their corresponding spectral properties to create extreme boundary conditions. The increasing diameter value for connected graphs with established vertex count and diameter limit d results in a decrease of Oj2(G) while also decreasing the spectral gap. The phenomenon demonstrates that trees which have large diameters exhibit both reduced algebraic connectivity and a more uneven distribution of their Laplacian eigenvalues.

The examination of complementary graph inequalities leads to the discovery of new extremes which establish vital connections between mathematical elements. The Nordhaus– Gaddum type inequalities create boundary limits which follow the structure of the established bounds.

$$\varepsilon(G) + \varepsilon(\bar{G}) \leq f(n), \tag{6.4}$$

The F(n) function depends solely on the graph's ordering. The two types of graph configurations show a structural duality according to the inequalities which connect their dense and sparse properties. The eigenvalues of dense graphs approach their main spectral points whereas the eigenvalues of sparse graphs scatter over a wider range of spectral values.

Recent developments have extended extremal investigations to signless Laplacian energy,

$$QE(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|q_i - \frac{2m}{n}\right| \tag{5.5}$$

ISSN: Printed: **3078-9656, Online: 3078-9664**, paper ID: 221

The signless Laplacian matrix has its eigenvalues represented by the symbols qi. The study of extremal graphs requires researchers to use irregularity measurements and degree variance assessment because these methods offer better results than using graph size and order to determine extremal graph properties.

Extremal problems show us the structural relationship between combinatorial restrictions and spectral patterns which they create. The system unifies three different approaches to studying spectral radius maximization and connectivity minimization and modern energy-based irregularity analysis through its algebraic framework.

7. Generalizations of Graph Energy

Graph energy originated from its initial definition through adjacency matrix eigenval-ues. The generalizations of energy-based invariants enable their application to various fields beyond traditional algebraic graph theory while delivering advanced techniques to measure irregularity and connectivity and structural dispersion.

7.1 Adjacency-Based Generalizations

Let A(G) denote the adjacency matrix of a simple graph G with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n.$$

The classical graph energy is defined as

$$\varepsilon(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\gamma_i| \tag{7.1}$$

A natural extension is the Randi´c energy, defined through the Randi´c matrix R(G) whose entries are given by

$$R_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}}, & \text{if } i,j \in E(G), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If ρ1,...,ρn denote its eigenvalues, the Randi´c energy is

$$RE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}|p_i| \tag{7.2}$$

Another important variant is the skew energy of oriented graphs, defined through the skew-adjacency matrix S(G) and given by

$$\epsilon_s(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}|\theta_i|, \tag{7.3}$$

where θi are eigenvalues of S(G).

7.2 Laplacian-Type Energies

The Laplacian matrix L(G) = D(G)−A(G) yields eigenvalues 0 = µ1 ≤ µ2 ≤ ··· ≤ µn.

The Laplacian energy is defined as

$$LE(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}\right| \tag{7.4}$$

Similarly, the signless Laplacian matrix Q(G) = D(G) + A(G) with eigenvalues qi leads to the signless Laplacian energy

$$QE(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|q_i - \frac{2m}{n}\right| \tag{7.5}$$

These variants incorporate degree information explicitly and therefore capture structural irregularity more directly than adjacency energy.

6.3 Distance and Other Structural Energies

Distance-based energies are defined using the distance matrix D(G) whose entries rep-resent shortest-path distances between vertices. If δ1,...,δn are eigenvalues of D(G), the distance energy is

$$DE(G) =\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\delta_i|. \tag{7.6}$$

Distance energy has proven useful in chemical graph theory and network centrality analysis, as it reflects global structural geometry rather than purely local adjacency relations.

7.4 Comparative Summary of Energy Variants

The following table summarizes major energy generalizations and their defining matrices.

Table 2. Major generalizations of graph energy and their defining matrices

Energy Type	Defining Matrix	Key Structural Feature
Classical Energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$	Adjacency matrix $A(G)$	Edge structure
Randić Energy $RE(G)$	Randić matrix $R(G)$	Degree-normalized adjacency
Skew Energy $\mathcal{E}_s(G)$	Skew-adjacency matrix	Orientation effects
Laplacian Energy $LE(G)$	Laplacian matrix $L(G)$	Connectivity deviation
Signless Laplacian $QE(G)$	Signless Laplacian $Q(G)$	Degree irregularity
Distance Energy $DE(G)$	Distance matrix $D(G)$	Global graph geometry

7.5 Structural Interpretation

The research demonstrates that graph energy functions as a collection of spectral dispersion metrics instead of a single invariant. Adjacency-based energies focus on the distribution of edges while Laplacian-type energies assess both the strength of network connections and the distribution of node connections. Distance-based energies function to measure the overall geometric structure of a network through its spatial relationships.

Recent investigations demonstrate that extremal behavior differs significantly across en-ergy types. Regular graphs show the least energy loss at specific distances yet their energy grows stronger through regular connections. Generalized energy theory enables researchers to analyze structural complexity in both theoretical and practical research fields through its advanced analytical methods.

8. Recent Advances and Open Problems

Research on graph energy and its spectral properties has experienced significant growth during the last ten years because of theoretical breakthroughs and cross-disciplinary research applications. The current research developments concentrate on establishing more precise limits and creating better extreme. defense techniques and conducting structural disturbance studies and investigating new types of matrix models.

8.1 Improved Spectral Bounds

Recent work has concentrated on strengthening classical inequalities of the form

$$\varepsilon(\mathrm{G}) \leq \sqrt{2mn}, \tag{8.1}$$

by incorporating structural corrections depending on degree variance, irregularity indices, or spectral gap. In particular, refined bounds relate energy to quantities such as

$$\mathrm{Var(d)}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(d_i-\frac{2m}{n}\right)^2, \tag{8.2}$$

There by linking energy growth to degree dispersion.

Analogous improvements have been established for Laplacian and signless Laplacian en-ergies, especially in irregular and near-regular graph families.

8.2 Energy Under Structural Perturbations

Another modern direction investigates how graph energy behaves under local structural modifications such as edge addition, edge deletion, or vertex grafting. Given a graph G and a modified graph G′, the energy difference

$$\Delta\, \varepsilon = \varepsilon\,(G')- \varepsilon\,(G) \tag{8.3}$$

provides insight into spectral sensitivity.

Recent studies indicate that adjacency energy is particularly sensitive to hub formation and clustering effects, whereas Laplacian energy reacts more strongly to connectivity alterations.

8.3 Random Graph Models and Asymptotic Behavior

Asymptotic spectral analysis of random graph models has also emerged as a prominent topic. For Erdo˝s–R´enyi graphs G(n,p), energy exhibits probabilistic concentration behavior as $n \to \infty$. In dense regimes, adjacency eigenvalues cluster around deterministic limits, leading to asymptotic estimates of the form

$$\varepsilon\,(G(n,p)) \sim C(p)n^{3/2}, \tag{8.4}$$

where C(p) depends on the edge probability.

Such results connect graph energy to random matrix theory and spectral distribution laws.

8.4 Open Problems

The current status shows that scientists have achieved significant advancements yet they still face multiple unresolved scientific problems.

(i) Identify the types of graphs that reach maximum values for their adjacency, Laplacian, and signless Laplacian energy measurements.
(ii) The research needs to establish precise Nordhaus–Gaddum boundaries that apply to all forms of generalized energy measurements.
(iii) The study requires researchers to create a comprehensive system which will identify all graph structures that exhibit the lowest Laplacian energy value for specific graph orders and total sizes.
(iv) The research needs to create a unified perturbation theory which will explain how energy changes when different combinatorial transformations are applied.
(v) The research team will study higher-order spectral energies which mathematicians define through specific matrix functions that include |A|k and operators that use resolvent-based methods.

8.5 Future Research Directions

Future research is expected to focus on multi-parameter optimization problems combining connectivity, diameter, clustering coefficients, and energy measures. Moreover, integration with data-driven network models may lead to new energy-based descriptors for complex systems.

Overall, graph energy theory continues to evolve toward a unified structural-spectral framework that integrates algebraic methods, combinatorial extremal analysis, and probabilistic techniques.

9. Computational and Algorithmic Aspects

Research activities should investigate how multiple parameters work together to solve optimization challenges that involve network connectivity and diameter measurement and clustering coeficient assessment and energy consumption evaluation. The study will develop new energy-based system descriptors through data-driven network modeling integration with existing research.

Graph energy theory is developing into a unified structural-spectral framework that com-bines three analysis methods which include algebraic methods, combinatorial extremal analysis, and probabilistic techniques. The classical graph energy,

$$\varepsilon\,(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\delta\gamma_i|. \tag{9.1}$$

where λi are eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix A(G), may be computed via full eigen decomposition. The algorithm below outlines the exact procedure.

Algorithm 1 Exact Adjacency Energy Computation

1: Input: Graph G = (V,E)
2: Construct adjacency matrix A(G)
3: Compute eigenvalues λ1,...,λn of A(G) 4: Initialize E
← 0
5: for i = 1 to n do 6:
E ← E +|λi| 7: end
for
8: Output: E(G) = E

The procedure produces correct results because the energy definition through spectral methods establishes its validity. The procedure faces its most difficult computational challenge during Step 3 which demands complete spectral decomposition to proceed. The Lanczos algorithm enables sparse graph processing through its iterative methods which achieve lower memory requirements and better scaling performance. The incomplete eigenvalue set forces researchers to use spectral reconstruction methods together with truncated eigen solvers because energy

ISSN: Printed: **3078-9656, Online: 3078-9664**, paper ID: 221

calculations require all eigenvalues in the spectral data. An analogous procedure applies to Laplacian energy, defined by

$$LE(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mu_i-\frac{2m}{n}\right|, \quad (9.2)$$

The eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix L(G) which equals D(G) minus A(G) are represented by the values of eigenvalues which are denoted as Oji. The Laplacian energy establishes its spectral distance measurement from uniform connectivity through its process of normalizing by the average degree of the network. The following algorithm presents the complete process which it uses to perform its calculations.

Algorithm 2 Laplacian Energy Computation

1: Input: Graph G = (V,E)
2: Construct degree matrix D(G) and adjacency matrix A(G) 3: Form Laplacian matrix L(G) = D(G)−A(G)
4: Compute eigenvalues µ1,...,µn of L(G) 5: Compute d = 2m/n
6: Initialize LE ← 0 7: for i = 1 to n do
8: LE ← LE +|µi −d| 9: end for
10: Output: LE(G)

Laplacian matrices exhibit numerical advantages because their symmetrical positive semidefinite structure and their tendency to be sparse reduce their numerical computations. The structure enables eficient solution of Krylov subspace methods together with sparse linear algebra routines. Spectral shifting methods enhance numerical stability because connected graphs always have a smallest eigenvalue equal to zero.
The computational demand for exact eigen decomposition exceeds capacity to handle graph sizes which network science uses for its big data analysis. The solution method uses approximation techniques to handle the situation. The key finding shows that graph energy functions as a trace functional.

$$\varepsilon(G) = \mathrm{tr}(|A(G)|), \quad (9.3)$$

The equation states that the absolute value of A equals the square root of A squared. The technique allows researchers to estimate matrix traces by using random probe vectors which operate without the need to perform full diagonalization. The combination of polynomial filtering methods and Chebyshev expansions enables researchers to create efficient methods which approximate matrix absolute values in large sparse graph structures.
The second area of computation focuses on spectral moment calculations. Moment-based energy estimations use only the powers of the adjacency matrix because eigenvalue distribution moments reveal structural information. The distributed computing environment benefits from these methods because matrix-vector multiplications require less computational power than eigenvalue calculations.
The field of numerical stability requires special attention. The presence of eigenvalues which cluster near zero creates a situation where tiny floating-point variations can alter the total of absolute values. High-precision arithmetic or carefully conditioned solvers are therefore recommended in high-sensitivity regimes particularly for near-regular graphs.

Graph energy computation studies create a scientific field which connects spectral graph theory with numerical linear algebra. Energy-based invariant methods can now be applied to biological networks and technological systems and social network structures thanks to developments in scalable eigen solvers and randomized algorithms and matrix function ap-proximation techniques.

10. Results and Discussion

The research study explains all historical and current advances concerning graph energy and Laplacian spectral theory through one unified structural framework. The research re-sults demonstrate that our study of adjacency energy and Laplacian energy together with their advanced forms has revealed structural patterns that determine how spectral energy distributes across different graph structures. The research shows that degree irregularity and connectivity robust design and global structural geometry are the main factors which determine how different matrix models exhibit energy behavior.

The theoretical results reviewed in this work demonstrate that energy-type invariants are highly sensitive to combinatorial constraints. Complete graphs and highly irregular structures typically maximize adjacency-based energy measures due to large spectral radius and eigenvalue spread. Near-regular and symmetric graphs show their lowest energy deviation in Laplacian form because they use average degree differences as their main calculation method. Graph energy functions as a measurement tool which determines structural balance through these observations.

The previous section demonstrates through its computational experiments and algorithmic considerations how energy computation faces both practical challenges and potential benefits. Exact eigen decomposition remains computationally intensive for large networks; however, modern approximation techniques, including stochastic trace estimation and Krylov subspace methods, significantly extend applicability. The combination of numerical linear algebra with spectral graph theory establishes a research area which shows future investigation potential.

The results show that graph energy functions as a link which connects discrete structural theory with continuous spectral analysis. The different generalizations which include Randi´c and signless Laplacian energies and distance-based energies demonstrate that no sin-gle invariant can represent all aspects of graph complexity. Each energy model focuses on one particular structural dimension which includes connectivity and irregularity and global distance geometry.

The survey also highlights several unresolved theoretical challenges. Although sharp characterizations remain incomplete for simultaneous structural constraints researchers have determined many upper bounds and lower bounds. Energy exhibits unknown asymptotic patterns within random and evolving network models which researchers study through their relationship with probabilistic spectral distribution. The theory of graph energy develops toward a complete structural-spectral synthesis because of existing research gaps.

10. Conclusion

The study delivers an exhaustive evaluation through which it examines all recent advancements in the fields of graph energy and Laplacian spectra and algebraic graph theory structural parameters. The study demonstrates that spectral invariants serve as fundamental components for researchers who seek to understand the structure of graphs through their combination of extremal results and generalized energy formulations and computational method-ologies and current research trends.

The study confirms that energy-based measures constitute a powerful analytical frame-work linking eigenvalue distribution to combinatorial organization. Energy measures the structural spread of a network when interpreted through its adjacency and Laplacian and distance matrices. The field will achieve greater theoretical and practical value through the creation of improved boundaries and the development of unified extreme theories and the establishment of computational approaches that can scale. Graph energy theory now exists as a link between pure mathematics computational science and applied network analysis. The field will develop through deeper connections with optimization theory and random matrix analysis and large-scale data modeling. The re-search will improve the unified structural-spectral approach established in this survey while delivering new discoveries in current graph theory.

REFERENCES

1. Gutman I. The energy of a graph. Ber. Math.-Statist. Sekt. For schungszentrum Graz, 1978, no. 103, pp. 1–22.
2. McClelland B.J. Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: The estimation of π-electron energies. J. Chem. Phys., 1971, vol. 54, pp. 640–643.
3. CvetkoviД‡ D., Doob M., Sachs H. Spectra of Graphs – Theory and Application. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
4. Koolen J.H., Moulton V. Maximal energy graphs. Adv. Appl. Math., 2001, vol. 26, pp. 47–52. 5. Gutman I., Li X., Shi Y. Trees with maximal energy. Linear Algebra Appl., 2008, vol. 428, pp. 2702–2713.
6. Zhou B., Gutman I. Nordhaus–Gaddum-type relations for the energy of a graph. Linear Algebra Appl., 2006, vol. 415, pp. 29–35.
7. Nikiforov V. Bounds on graph eigenvalues. Linear Algebra Appl., 2002, vol. 420, pp. 667–671. 8. Li X., Gutman I. Mathematical Aspects of Graph Energy. Springer, New York, 2012.
9. Gutman I., Zhou B. Laplacian energy of a graph. Linear Algebra Appl., 2006, vol. 414, pp. 29–37.
10. Li X., Shi Y., Gutman I. Graph Energy. Springer, New York, 2012.
11. Chung F.R.K. Spectral Graph Theory. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 92, AMS, 1997.
12. Brouwer A.E., Haemers W.H. Spectra of Graphs. Springer, 2012.
13. Fiedler M. Algebraic connectivity of graphs. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 23 (1973), 298–305.
14. Mohar B. The Laplacian spectrum of graphs. In: Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applica-tions, Wiley, 1991.
15. Mohar B. Some applications of Laplace eigenvalues of graphs. In: Graph Symmetry, NATO ASI Series, 1997.
16. Olfati-Saber R., Fax J.A., Murray R.M. Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent systems. Proc. IEEE, 95 (2007), 215–233.
17. Arenas A., DΓaz-Guilera A., Kurths J., Moreno Y., Zhou C. Synchronization in complex net-works. Physics Reports, 469 (2008), 93–153.

18. Anderson W.N., Morley T.D. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 18 (1985), 141–145.
19. Grone R., Merris R., Sunder V.S. The Laplacian spectrum of a graph. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 11 (1990), 218–238.
20. Li X., Zhang J. Laplacian energy of a graph. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 435 (2011), 273–282.
21. Das K.C. Sharp bounds for the largest Laplacian eigenvalue. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 428 (2008), 2969–2976.
22. Cvetkovi D., Simi S. Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 432 (2010), 2257–2272.
23. Cvetkovi D., Rowlinson P., Simi S. An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
24. Feng L., Yu G. On the signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 439 (2013), 351–359.
25. Merris R. Laplacian matrices of graphs: A survey. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 197 (1994), 143–176.
26. Grone R. Eigenvalues and degree sequences of graphs. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 39 (1995), 133–136.
27. Zhou B. Nordhaus–Gaddum type inequalities for Laplacian eigenvalues. Applied Mathematics Letters, 20 (2007), 1069–1073.
28. Aouchiche M., Hansen P. A survey of Nordhaus–Gaddum type relations. Discrete Applied Math-ematics, 161 (2013), 466–546.
29. Guo J.M. The algebraic connectivity of graphs under perturbations. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 433 (2010), 1880–1891.
30. StevanoviД‡ D. Laplacian energy of graphs. MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, 61 (2009), 407–417.
31. Al-Harere M. N., Breesam A. T., Variant Types of Domination in Spinner Graph, Special Issue: 1st Scientific International Conference, College of Science, Al-Nahrain University, pp. 127–133, 2017.
32. Yaqoob A. F., Al-Sarray B., Enhancing Sparse Adjacency Matrix for Community Detection in Large Networks, Al-Nahrain Journal of Science, 22(4), 75–85, 2019.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

	**Assistant Lecturer Wisam R. Dawood** holds a Master's degree in Pure Mathematics from India (2019). He has been a faculty member in the Department of Computer Science, College of Science, Al-Nahrain University, Iraq, since 2023. He can be contacted via email at wisam.rafid@nahrainuniv.edu.iq
	**Assistant Lecturer Zeyad M. Abed** holds a Master of Science degree in Pure Mathematics/Graph Theory from the University of Mysore, India (2019). He has been a full-time lecturer at the Administrative Technical College, Middle Technical University, Baghdad, Iraq, since November 2019. He is currently a faculty member in the Computer Science Department, College of Science, Al-Nahrain University, Iraq, a position he has held since 2023. He can be contacted via email at zeyad.mohammed@nahrainuniv.edu.iq