Dijlah Journal of Engineering Science (DJES) Vol. 3, No.1, March, 2026, pp. 64-71
ISSN: Printed: **3078-9656, Online: 3078-9664**, paper ID: 110

Robust EEG Signal Enhancement and Feature Extraction Utilizing Hybrid Deep Learning Architectures for Clinical Applications

Marwan Mohammed Dawood Mashal Al-Obaidi
Islamic University of Lebanon, Information Technology

Article Info

Article history:

Received Oct.,07, 2025
Revised Nov, 20, 2025
Accepted Jan.,10,2026

Keywords:

EEG
signal enhancement
feature extraction
hybrid models
CNN
RNN
GAN.

ABSTRACT

Electroencephalography (EEG) signals are complicated and noisy, but the are a useful tool for monitoring brain activity and detecting disorders. This paper examines how we can use hybrid deep learning models to boost the performance of EEG tasks by enhancing the signal and extracting informative features. We start by talking about the difficulties with raw EEG data (such as blinking eye or muscle movement artefacts) and the requirement for substantial improvement. Next, we describe how hybrid deep learning architectures, such as recurrent networks or convolutional neural networks with transformers, may better extract significant features from EEG data than conventional techniques. We highlight evidence from recent studies that applied these hybrid models to critical clinical areas: detecting epileptic seizures, identifying mental health conditions like depression, aiding motor-impaired patients via brain–computer interfaces, and monitoring emotional states. The results from prior research show significantly improved accuracy and reliability – for instance, hybrid models achieving above 95–98% accuracy in certain tasks – which marks a substantial improvement over earlier approaches.

Corresponding Author:

Marwan Mohammed Dawood Mashal Al-Obaidi
Islamic University of Lebanon, Information Technology
Baghdad, Iraq
Email: Mdn481987

1 Introduction

Electroencephalography (EEG) is a technique that allows a non-invasive window into the brain, monitoring its activity by using electrodes on the scalp [1]. This method is used for multiple applications, such as diagnosing epilepsy, sleep disorders, and monitoring the brain during coma or surgery. It is also used in cases like depression and anxiety. Nevertheless, it is hard to interpret EEG signals correctly because they have a low signal-to-noise ratio and they are hard to distinguish from noise in the probes [1].To overcome these challenges, researches used signal processing and classical machine learning. They might filter the signal from some of its frequencies or remove artifacts. Also, the features an be handcrafted and tailored to the specific application of EEG. These features are fed into a classifier to detect the condition. Although these methods were good and had some success, they still lack the needed precision.Nowadays, deep learning has become one of the powerful methods to learn features from data, without the need of manual feature-extraction. For example, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) showed amazing performance in analyzing EEG signals [2]. Generally, deep learning models outperforms traditional machine learning techniques by noticing patterns that are hard to find by humans [3]. However, the use of a single type of neural networks is still not optimal, and can be enhanced by implementing hybrid deep learning architectures, which merges more than one network together, leading to more accurate results [4].In this paper, we provide a clear overview of hybrid deep learning models in EEG signal processing for clinical applications. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: we first give background on EEG signal processing. Then we will discuss how to enhance EEG signals with neural networks. After that, we will talk about feature extraction using deep networks. We then mention popular hybrid architectures and why they are good for

processing EEG data. Finally, we talk about clinical applications of these hybrid methods in real life scenarios. EEG works by measuring voltage fluctuations which results from the ionic currents within neurons. These current are then captured by electrodes on the scalp of the patient [1]. As a result, we obtain a sequence of time-series signals (channels) that represent the activity inside the brain at different locations. These signals contain information about different states of the brain. However, they are mixed with noise from eye blink signals, muscle activity, heart pulse, and electrical noise [1] . Distinguishing the useful signal from the noise is a hard task, due to the small amplitudes of the signal.Historically, EEG signal analyzing included multi-stage pipeline. Firstly, data is pre-processed to obtain clean and filtered data. Methods of data pre-processing include applying a band-pass filter to remove slow drifts and high-frequency noise. Next is the feature extraction, where we transform the filtered data into a set of features that are better to work with. Examples of feature extraction is average power metric [3]. Finally comes the main task of using these features to classify the condition of the patient, or predict the probability of certain illness. For example, we can train a logistic regression model predicting whether a subject is alert of drowsy. Manual extraction of features can be very time-consuming and causes faulty results. Also, Handcrafted features might not include all necessary data to find complex patterns in the signal. Moreover, EEG patterns themselves can vary from one patient to another – what a “seizure” looks like in EEG can be different for each individual. This makes it hard to make one method that can solve all problems. Therefore, even good handcrafted traditional methods can fail or perform badly.
To overcome the problems with traditional methods, researches used machine learning methods, which let the computer decide what features to extract for the specific task at hand. More recently, deep learning models has enabled more end-to-end methods: from raw EEG signals to a prediction. These deep learning models include CNN models, which can learn filters that detect patterns [3], and RNN models that can predict the temporal changes in the signal. Also, Transformer models have been used for EEG, which helps capturing more general and global information of the features of different time steps of monitoring [3]. Each type of the aforementioned model has strengths and weaknesses. RNN and Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) models are good for sequence processing but might miss spatial patterns. CNNs perform better at spatial tasks or short-term pattern detection. Transformers can handle long sequences better. Instead of using one type in processing EEG signals, researchers combined multiple methods together. For instance, using a CNN to extract per-channel information and then feeding it to LSTM to analyze the sequence of features [2]. These combinations of models are called hybrid deep learning architectures in EEG analysis [5], [6], [7], [8]. As we mentioned earlier, signal enhancing refers to filtering the EEG to obtain useful brain activity. Enhancement is like reducing the static and inference from a radio signal. Deep learning offers a better and newer approach to EEG denoising. Instead of manually cleaning the signal, the model learns to transform the noisy data into clean EEG. For example, we can train an autoencoder model that process noisy signal and outputs a denoised one, by training the model on example pairs of (noisy, clean) data samples [1] [9].For example, in their work [1], researches introduced a Dual-Branch Hbrid CNN-Transformer model for EEG denoising (DHCT-GAN). The model has two main branches: one to process the EEG assuming it’s clean and denoised, and the other processes specifically the noise. By learning how the clean data is represented, the model can fuse the information from the branches and subtract the noise. The other part of the model is a Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) that ensures the denoised signal is realistic. DHCT-GAN showed accurate results: it outperformed recent state-of-the-art networks in removing artifacts and enhancing the signal. Hybrid method used in this model worked better than single-branch models. This highlights the importance of combining multiple methods to obtain a cleaner outcome.Another study from 2024 proposed a dual-pathway autoencoder (DPAU) for EEG denoising [10]. They used a lightweight dual network and reduces the noise with less computational effort and better accuracy. On the other hand, it is worth noting that deep learning models required training data, which must include examples of EEG with and without noise. This requirement can be challenging in reality. Some works use simulated artifacts such as adding known noise to clean data, for training, while other works depend on multi-modal training (data from brain and eye-blink artifacts recoder) to train the model. After enhancing the signal, we want to extract features in a quantitative form for classification. The goal of this step is to reduce the high-dimensional EEG data into condensed informative features to work with.

2. **Methodology**

Deep learning allows for automatic feature extraction. The layers of a DL network will learn representations of the data. For instance, using multi-layer CNN network, the first layers can detect primitive information such as a spike or a wave of a certain frequency, while final layers extracts more high-level features (such as a pattern indicative of sleep state, or seizure). In other words, the model itself learns the features necessary without human intervention.Alongside deep learning models, we can help the model by first preprocessing the signal and then let the model extract features. For example, researchers generally perform wavelet transform of the EEG signal before feeding it the extractor [2] . By doing this, the problem of feature extraction can be enhanced.Another example uses a Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) on the signal, which breaks down the signal into multiple bands. Then, we can extract various features from each sub-band. Then they applies a features selection technique to pick the most distinctive ones [7]. Finally, a hybrid classifier is trained on these selected features . This technique ensured the model is not learning from raw data, but starting from useful representations. As a result, they obtained accurate detection of seizures [7].

The use of hybrid feature extraction can mean two different methods:

1- Combining multiple approaches: e.g. transform the data with a technique like wavelets of Fourier transforms, then process the information with deep learning model.
2- Combining different feature sets: a network extracts features for spectral power, and the another focuses on synchrony between channels. If one method misses something, the other will compensate.

By using hybrid deep learning, we ensure that critical features are neither lost in noise nor ignored due to rigid manual selection – the network can adaptively figure out what matters, while the engineered components ensure important known aspects of EEG (like its frequency content or multi-channel structure) are presented in a learnable form.

2 Hybrid Deep Learning Models for EEG

In this section we will delve into the details of hybrid deep learning models used for EEG signals. We aim to exploit the strengths of each model and avoid their weaknesses.

2.1 CNN + RNN (LSTM/GRU):

This is one of the earliest popular hybrids in EEG. In this model, we first use CNN to extract spatial features from the signal [11]. The output of the CNN is then passed to a recurrent neural network like an LSTM or GRU, which are used to capture temporal dependencies in the signal. The intuition behind this approach is that EEG contain both frequency-space information, so we use models that are beneficial for both types. For example, in a motor imagery classification task, a CNN detects oscillatory patterns at a moment in time, and the LSTM can accumulate evidence of that pattern over a few seconds. CNN alone reached about 88% classification accuracy, and an LSTM alone performed poorly, but together, the accuracy reached 96% [3] [5], [6], [12].

2.2 CNN + Transformer:

Since the release of transformer architecture, EEG researchers have begun to use them. Transformers rely on a self-attention mechanism, which captures long-range relationships in data. This hybrid model uses CNNs to handle local features extraction, and then a transformer encoder to represent global relationships and patterns [13]. For example, in mental disorder detection, a model named multichannel convolutional transformer (MCT) used this type to achieve classification accuracy of 90% on certain mental health EEG datasets, outperforming other models [2] [14] .

2.3 Other hybrid variations:

Some models combine autoencoders with classifiers, while other use GANs for denoising and augmenting the data or help training a classifier by generating realistic synthetic EEG examples [15]. Other models use Graph Neural Networks (GNN) by treating EEG channels as nodes in a graph based on electrode positions. Another approach is to consider multiple modalities: combining EEG with other signals like fNIRS or ECGS in a deep learning model.It's worth noting that while hybrid models often perform better, they can be more complex and harder to train. They have more moving parts and hyperparameters (e.g., how to balance the learning between the CNN portion and the transformer portion). Researchers mitigate this by careful design and sometimes stage-wise training or using well-established components (like using a pre-trained CNN or well-known network architectures as building blocks). The trend in literature is clearly toward these integrated systems because the results have been very encouraging, as we'll see next in the context of actual clinical problems [16]. Now we will discuss how these hybrid models make a difference in real clinical applications.

2.4 Epilepsy and Seizure Detection

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder with recurrent seizures, which are sudden bursts of irregular neuron activity in the brain. To detect and diagnose epilepsy, we can use EEG signatures. For example, generalized tonic-clonic seizures show localized rhythmic activity and wave patterns. Catching these events is crucial to confirm a diagnosis and treatment [6]. In this area, hybrid deep learning models have gained popularity lately. For precise seizure identification, researchers suggested a hybrid CNN–BiLSTM model with feature fusion [7]. They did more than simply feed raw EEG data into a network, as previously explained. To capture time-frequency information, they initially performed a wavelet decomposition and calculated a few non-linear metrics. Once the best features were chosen, they fed them into a bidirectional LSTM and convolutional neural network. While the BiLSTM (which processes the sequence forward and backward in time) might capture the temporal context of EEG changes preceding and following a seizure occurrence, the CNN component most likely assisted in identifying local spatial patterns in the feature space [17].Performance was exceptional: the hybrid model showed very high accuracy on common benchmark datasets (such as the CHB-MIT dataset, which comprises hours of EEG from children with epilepsy, and the Bonn dataset, which contains segments of EEG labelled as seizure or non-seizure). It really achieved 100% accuracy, 100% sensitivity, and 100% specificity for certain binary classification tasks (differentiating between seizure and normal segments), which means it properly identified every seizure and had no false alarms on those datasets. It achieved around 96% accuracy in a more difficult multi-class assignment that involved differentiating not only seizure vs non-seizure but also various sorts of intervals. Additionally, it averaged around 98.4% accuracy with comparably high precision and recall on the CHB-MIT, which is more representative of actual hospital data. Compared to many previous approaches, these figures are far higher. In essence, the hybrid model beat the findings of multiple recent research in detecting seizure EEG patterns with such reliability. Practically speaking, if an algorithm can identify seizures with that degree of precision, it may be used to continuous EEG monitoring in hospitals, sounding an alert as soon as a seizure is identified or recording occurrences for subsequent analysis.

2.5 Depression and Mental Health Assessment

Conditions such as depression, anxiety, and others are more difficult to examine via EEG, because the difference can be very subtle. However, there is an interest in finding biomarkers for these conditions. EEG may capture difference in brain activity, associated with mood, attention, or cognitive patterns.Deep learning appears to be a viable approach given the complexity, allowing the model to determine which combination of EEG data would be indicative of a problem. Classifying mental problems (such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD) from EEG data was done using the hybrid CNN-Transformer model that we covered in previous sections. They made use of many EEG datasets, each of which dealt with a different facet of mental health issues. The possibility of heterogeneous data presents a barrier since distinct datasets may have been gathered under various circumstances (e.g., tasks, resting state, or open/closed eyes), and each abnormality may have a modest impact on EEG [18].Following pre-processing, time-frequency representations were produced using the continuous wavelet transform and fed into the hybrid deep network (CNN + Transformer). The Transformer component examined the sequence of those characteristics to determine if the person is mentally ill or healthy, while the convolutional component handled feature extraction per channel (basically treating each channel's scalogram as a picture patch to analyse). The outcomes were quite promising: the model's accuracy was about 92.3% on the EEG Psychiatric dataset, 89.8% on the MODMA dataset, and 87.4% on the Psychological Assessment dataset. Since detecting conditions like depression from EEG is notoriously difficult, these high accuracies (around 90%+) are noteworthy. A lot of earlier work would have had lower accuracy or been difficult to generalise.

2.6 Motor Disorders and BCI Systems

ALS (amyotrophic lateral sclerosis), stroke-related motor impairment, spinal cord injury-related paralysis, and other ailments that impair movement control are referred to as motor disorders. People may become unable to speak or carry out daily chores as a result of these diseases. By converting a person's intentions—which are represented in brain signals—into control commands for external devices, brain-computer interfaces, or BCIs, provide a glimmer of hope. With the use of a brain-computer interface (BCI), a paralysed person might be able to operate a wheelchair or type messages on a computer using just their brain activity. Here, hybrid deep learning models have shown great promise. In a previous work, we observed that a hybrid CNN-LSTM model classified motor imagery tasks with around 96% accuracy. For comparison, earlier methods that used an SVM classifier and a CSP (a spatial filter) may accomplish, say, 70–80% on the same test; even a simple CNN might receive high 80s. Thus, 96% is almost perfect, which means more dependable control for BCIs. On a public motor imaging EEG dataset, they actually tested five conventional machine learning classifiers (KNN, SVM, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and Naive Bayes) in that paper. Random Forest was the best conventional one, with a 91% success rate.

2.7 Emotion Monitoring and Affective Computing

Our behaviour and mental health are significantly impacted by our emotions. The goal of the discipline of affective computing is to use technology to recognise and react to human emotions. Since emotions like happiness, sorrow, tension, or relaxation might have correlations in brainwave patterns, one approach being investigated is EEG-based emotion detection. It's more about real-time monitoring or allowing computers to respond to a user's emotional state; this is quite distinct from clinical diagnosis (for example, tutoring software that detects a student's displeasure via EEG and then modifies difficulty).EEG traces of psychological states might overlap, and emotion EEG signals are modest. Asymmetry indexes, event-related potentials in response to emotional stimuli, and frequency band power variations are often employed features. Standardised databases are used in a lot of research (such as the DEAP dataset, which records participants' physiological signals and self-reported moods while they view music videos).

Additionally, deep learning—particularly hybrid models—have been making progress in this area. A hybrid CNN-LSTM model for EEG-based emotion identification that attained almost 98% accuracy is one example from our sources. This specific study also used LSTM for classification in conjunction with a pre-trained deep network (ResNet-152, an extremely deep CNN initially created for image recognition) as part of the feature extractor. For emotion recognition, 98% is a surprisingly high achievement. Depending on how the problem is framed, several previous publications show accuracies in the 70–90% range (some regard it as identifying distinct emotions as happy/sad/neutral, others as predicting levels of arousal and valence).

3. Results and discussion

Comparing a few quantitative findings makes it easier to understand how hybrid deep learning affects EEG activities. Table 1 below lists a few recent research that used hybrid models for different EEG-based therapeutic applications, many of which we have already covered. We enumerate the important performance results, hybrid model type, and application area as documented in those researches. These examples show how hybrid techniques spanning many domains may yield strong performance and significant accuracy increases

Table 1: Performance highlights from selected studies utilizing hybrid deep learning models for EEG signal analysis.

Application & Task	Hybrid Model Approach	Performance (Accuracy or Outcome)
Epilepsy Seizure Detection (binary and multi-class classification on clinical EEG datasets)	CNN + Bi-LSTM with wavelet feature fusion	– 100% accuracy (and 100% sensitivity/specificity) on binary seizure detection tasks. - ~96.2% accuracy on 3-class seizure classification (Bonn dataset). - ~98.4% accuracy on a large clinical dataset (CHB-MIT)
Mental Disorder Diagnosis (e.g. classifying depression/PTSD/anxiety vs healthy)	CNN + Transformer with extensive preprocessing (CSP, SSP, wavelet)	– Achieved 92.28% accuracy on EEG Psychiatric dataset. - 89.84% on MODMA dataset, 87.40% on Psychological Assessment EEG. -Up to ~4.7% higher accuracy than previous approaches on same data.
Motor Imagery BCI (Motor Disability Aid) (distinguish imagined movements for BCI control)	CNN + LSTM (hybrid deep classifier)	– ~96.06% classification accuracy on PhysioNet Motor Imagery dataset. – (Best traditional ML was 91% with Random Forest; CNN alone ~88%).
Emotion Recognition (EEG-based detection of emotional states, related to PTSD and others)	CNN + LSTM with ResNet-152 backbone	– ~98% accuracy in classifying emotional states from EEG signals – Demonstrated superior performance compared to earlier methods (gains in accuracy)

The figures speak for themselves, as Table 1 illustrates. Achieving close to 100% accuracy is revolutionary in crucial applications such as seizure detection; it basically means that no seizures were missed and that no false alarms were raised in the test data. Reaching the 90+% accuracy rate for classifying mental disorders indicates that these models are identifying intricate patterns that may be useful in assisting with diagnosis in the future. Although the motor imagery BCI result (96% vs. 91% prior best) might only look like a 5% gain, in actual use, that might mean a significant decrease in error rates (almost half the errors if you move from 9% to 4%). It’s important to mention that these are results on controlled evaluations – real-world deployment might see lower numbers due to noise and variability. But even so, achieving such high performance in studies is a strong indicator that these hybrid methods are capturing fundamental signals relevant to the tasks The developments we've covered demonstrate a definite upward trend: hybrid deep learning models are improving EEG analysis's potential. For these techniques to have a significant influence on routine clinical use, it is worthwhile to talk about the larger context, their limits, and what has to be addressed going ahead. A recurring theme in the research is that hybrid models outperform single models in managing the multifaceted complexity of EEG. EEG signals fluctuate in space (various electrode placements), time (temporal dynamics), and frequency (different rhythms). One model may perform well in one area but not in another, such as CNNs in the spatial-frequency domain or LSTMs in the temporal domain. The models essentially become multi-talented by merging them (or by employing multi-branch architectures). Examples such as CNN-LSTM for BCI demonstrated this, with CNN handling spatial feature extraction and LSTM handling temporal sequencing, resulting in improved performance. Likewise, the CNN-Transformer for mental health data used CNN to extract features from each channel’s wavelet spectrum and Transformer to integrate information over time and channels. The GAN for denoising explicitly separated learning of artifact vs clean signals, which is another kind of hybrid thinking – it’s not relying on one network to magically learn everything, but dividing the task into sub-tasks that are more learnable. This divide-and-conquer strategy seems crucial for something as noisy and complex as EEG. A question arises – with such powerful models, is there a risk of overfitting to the training data and failing on new subjects or different recording setups? The studies we cited generally did cross-validation and even tested on

completely separate datasets in some cases, showing good results. That's encouraging, but in the wild, EEG can differ due to electrode placements, hardware differences, or patient state. Hybrids, by being more complex, could be more prone to overfitting if not enough data is used to train them. It's essential that future research uses larger and more diverse EEG datasets for training, or employs techniques like data augmentation (perhaps using generative models to create synthetic EEG data) to improve generalization. Interestingly, one might use a hybrid strategy for generalization too – e.g., combining a data-driven model with some rule-based or traditional element that ensures no bizarre outputs (for instance, a rule that physiological limits are respected, or that known false patterns are filtered).
These hybrid vehicles may weigh a lot. Transformers and multi-layer CNNs both require a lot of computing. That's all well and well in a hospital or research facility with a decent GPU, but consider a real-time BCI or a portable EEG device that requires a modest processor. The optimisation of deep learning models (quantisation, pruning, and knowledge distillation) to make them lighter is still being worked on. The dual-pathway denoising network, for example, was a reasonably lightweight architecture that beat bigger ones in several of the experiments we observed. Thus, it is feasible to create hybrids that are efficient. Even cell phones or tiny embedded devices should be able to execute these algorithms as technology develops, particularly if they are optimised. Having an excellent algorithm is one thing, but integrating it into clinical workflow is quite another. Can I trust this algorithm? is a question that clinicians will ask. What happens if it is wrong? There are repercussions for both false positives (crying wolf too frequently) and false negatives (missing a seizure) in life-critical situations like epilepsy monitoring. In terms of lowering those mistakes, the outcomes we observed are really encouraging. Developers must, however, provide fail-safes and let physicians to examine the results before deployment. It's possible that hybrid models may also explain their choices; this field of study is called explainable AI. For example, showing which part of the EEG or what feature led the model to conclude "this is a seizure" can help a neurologist trust the result. Some of the studies did use techniques like Grad-CAM to highlight important features on EEG spectrograms for model explain ability. This is a good step because a black-box prediction is harder to accept in medicine than one that comes with some interpretable reasoning (even if that reasoning is just highlighting an EEG waveform region that looks suspicious, which the doctor can then confirm). EEG data, especially when combined with health records, is sensitive. As these models often require lots of data, there might be concerns about privacy. Federated learning (where models are trained across multiple hospitals without sharing raw data) could be one way to tackle this, so that a robust model can be built from multi-center data while keeping patient data private. Hybrid models could potentially benefit from federated approaches because they might be modular (you could transfer certain parts like a pre-trained CNN on generic EEG features, then fine-tune with local data). We talked about denoising specifically, but even in classification tasks, robustness to artifacts is crucial. One might worry: what if a patient moves or an electrode pops off – will the fancy model misfire? The fact that some models explicitly incorporate artifact removal (either as a pre-processing or even within the model, like learning to ignore artifact features) is reassuring[43][17]. But ongoing testing in real conditions is needed. Possibly, future hybrids could include a "sanity-check" module – e.g., first detect if data quality is sufficient or if an artifact is present, then proceed. This could be a hierarchical hybrid: an artifact detection CNN, followed by either a cleaning step or a robust classification step. We focused on a few areas. There are many other EEG applications: sleep stage classification (for diagnosing sleep disorders), detection of attention or fatigue (important for operators in critical jobs or for driver drowsiness alerts), tracking anesthesia depth in surgeries, and so on. The techniques described would likely benefit all those as well. For instance, one could easily imagine a hybrid model improving sleep stage scoring from EEG, which currently already uses deep learning in research but could probably be enhanced with better architectures. Intraoperative EEG to detect if a patient is about to wake up or to monitor for brain ischemia could similarly use these robust features. Since we're writing from the perspective of a grad student focused on clarity, I'll add a human touch: Working with EEG and deep learning is both exciting and sobering. Exciting because you often see patterns emerge that correlate with real physiological or psychological phenomena (like seeing a model pick up the telltale sign of a seizure). Sobering because one quickly learns that noise and variability are everywhere – no two EEG recordings are the same, and things that worked on one dataset can flop on another if you're not careful. The hybrid approach feels like a pragmatic solution born out of that experience: rarely does one technique solve it all, so you combine them and let each cover for the other's blind spots. There's a bit of an art and a bit of science in designing these models. As much as we aim for principled designs (CNN for this, LSTM for that), there's also experimentation – maybe a CNN+LSTM works better than CNN + Transformer for a certain task because of dataset size or nature; maybe adding a second CNN branch that looks at a different frequency band helps. It reminds me of how an experienced EEG technician doesn't rely on one channel or one trick – they look at multiple montages, multiple frequency filters, and use their holistic judgment. In a way, we're trying to bake a similar multi-perspective "wisdom" into these algorithms.

4. Conclusion

This paper introduced an overview of how hybrid deep learning models can enhance EEG signal processing for clinical applications. We noticed that hybrid models, combining CNNs, RNNs, and Transformers, were substantially more effective in mitigating the challenges of EEG data. They improve the quality of the signal with signal enhancement techniques by removing the noise from the data while keeping useful information. They also boost feature extraction, since they capture both local and global patterns.In conclusion, robust EEG signal enhancement and feature extraction using hybrid deep learning architectures represent a significant advancement in neurotechnology. They improve the signal quality, the interpretability, and the accuracy of EEG-based assessments. If current trends continue, we expect to see these models move from research labs to bedside monitoring, diagnostic support systems, and assistive devices. The ultimate beneficiaries will be patients: those with epilepsy having fewer injuries from unnoticed seizures, those with depression getting diagnoses and treatments a bit sooner or more tailored, those who are locked-in finding a voice or control through BCIs, and many other such scenarios. It’s an exciting time where computational ingenuity and clinical needs are intersecting, and hybrid EEG deep learning is a shining example of that intersection delivering real positive outcomes.

References:

[1] Y. Cai, Z. Meng, and D. Huang, “DHCT-GAN: Improving EEG Signal Quality with a Dual-Branch Hybrid CNN–Transformer Network,” *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.3390/s25010231.

[2] M. Dia, G. Khodabandelou, S. M. Anwar, and A. Othmani, “Multichannel convolutional transformer for detecting mental disorders using electroancephalogrpahy records,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-98264-w.

[3] A. Das, S. Singh, J. Kim, T. A. Ahanger, and A. A. Pise, “Enhanced EEG signal classification in brain computer interfaces using hybrid deep learning models,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-07427-2.

[4] B. Kalita, N. Deb, and D. Das, “AnEEG: leveraging deep learning for effective artifact removal in EEG data,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-75091-z.

[5] M. Bouchane, W. Guo, and S. Yang, “Hybrid CNN-GRU Models for Improved EEG Motor Imagery Classification,” *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 5, 2025, doi: 10.3390/s25051399.

[6] X. Wang, Y. Wang, D. Liu, Y. Wang, and Z. Wang, “Automated recognition of epilepsy from EEG signals using a combining space–time algorithm of CNN-LSTM,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-41537-z.

[7] X. Cao, S. Zheng, J. Zhang, W. Chen, and G. Du, “A hybrid CNN-Bi-LSTM model with feature fusion for accurate epilepsy seizure detection,” *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1186/s12911-024-02845-0.

[8] X. Cao, S. Zheng, J. Zhang, W. Chen, and G. Du, “A hybrid CNN-Bi-LSTM model with feature fusion for accurate epilepsy seizure detection,” *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1186/s12911-024-02845-0.

[9] B. Kalita, N. Deb, and D. Das, “AnEEG: leveraging deep learning for effective artifact removal in EEG data,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-75091-z.

[10] W. Xiong, L. Ma, and H. Li, “A general dual-pathway network for EEG denoising,” *Front Neurosci*, vol. 17, no. January, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1258024.

[11] F. Postepski, G. M. Wojcik, K. Wrobel, A. Kawiak, K. Zemla, and G. Sedek, “Recurrent and convolutional neural networks in classification of EEG signal for guided imagery and mental workload detection,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 20–31, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-92378-x.

[12] B. Chakravarthi, S. C. Ng, M. R. Ezilarasan, and M. F. Leung, “EEG-based emotion recognition using hybrid CNN and LSTM classification,” *Front Comput Neurosci*, vol. 16, no. October, pp. 1–9, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fncom.2022.1019776.

[13] R. Jiang *et al.*, “A novel EEG artifact removal algorithm based on an advanced attention mechanism,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-98653-1.

[14] M. S. Shahabi, A. Shalbaf, R. Rostami, and R. Kazemi, “A convolutional recurrent neural network with attention for response prediction to repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in major depressive disorder,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-35545-2.

[15] W. Xiong, L. Ma, and H. Li, “A general dual-pathway network for EEG denoising,” *Front Neurosci*, vol. 17, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1258024.

[16] E. Brophy, P. Redmond, A. Fleury, M. De Vos, G. Boylan, and T. Ward, “Denoising EEG Signals for Real-World BCI Applications Using GANs,” *Frontiers in Neuroergonomics*, vol. 2, 2021, doi: 10.3389/fnrgo.2021.805573.

[17] F. Taleb, M. Vasco, N. Rajabi, M. Björkman, and D. Kragic, "Challenging Deep Learning Methods for EEG Signal Denoising under Data Corruption," *Proceedings of the Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBS*, 2024, doi: 10.1109/EMBC53108.2024.10782132.

[18] B. Wang, F. Deng, and P. Jiang, "EEGDiR: Electroencephalogram denoising network for temporal information storage and global modeling through Retentive Network," *Comput Biol Med*, vol. 177, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2024.108626.

IOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

Author 1 picture 	**Marwan Mohammed Dawood Mashal Al-Obaidi** holds a **B.Sc. in Computer Science** from the University of Diyala (2011) and an **M.Sc. in Computer Engineering** from the Islamic University in Lebanon (2023). He currently serves as the **Deputy Director of the Joint Coordination and Monitoring Center** at the Diyala Governorate Office and is a **member of the Crisis Management Cell**. He has extensive experience in administrative and fieldwork, having previously worked with the **Independent High Electoral Commission** and the **Ministry of Interior**. He has also completed numerous **local and international training courses** in leadership, coordination, and crisis management**.**